

1           **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**  
2       Neal Deckant (State Bar No. 322946)  
3       1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
4       Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
5       Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
6       Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
7       E-mail: ndeckant@bursor.com  
  
8       *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

1           **GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**  
2       Lauren R. Goldman (pro hac vice)  
3       lgoldman@gibsondunn.com  
4       Darcy C. Harris (pro hac vice)  
5       dharris@gibsondunn.com  
6       200 Park Avenue  
7       New York, NY 10166-0193  
8       Telephone: (212) 351-4000  
9       Facsimile: (212) 351-4035

10           *Attorneys for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.*

11           *Additional Attorneys on Signature Page*

12           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

13           **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14           IN RE META PIXEL TAX FILINGS CASES

15           Master File No. 5:22-cv-07557-PCP (VKD)

16           This document relates to:

17           **STIPULATED ADDENDUM TO ESI  
18           PROTOCOL AND [PROPOSED]  
19           ORDER**

20           All actions

21           Action Filed: December 1, 2022

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiffs and Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. (together, “the Parties”) by and through their respective counsel of record, respectfully submit this stipulated addendum to the Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information (“ESI Protocol”) (Dkt. 93) and [Proposed] Order.

WHEREAS, on May 25, 2023, the Court entered a Discovery Scheduling Order (Dkt. 83) directing the Parties to meet and confer about “the form and content of their privilege logs sufficiently in advance of the deadline for the exchange of first interim privilege logs so that any disputes about the form and content of the log can be resolved before the deadline;”

WHEREAS, the Discovery Scheduling Order set deadlines for the Parties’ privilege logs as follows based on the fact discovery cutoff date:

- Parties exchange first interim privilege logs: 90 days before second exchange
- Parties exchange second interim privilege logs: 60 days before final exchange
- Parties substantially complete document production: 90 days before fact discovery cut off
- Parties exchange final privilege logs: 40 days before fact discovery cut off

WHEREAS, the Court has not yet set a deadline for fact discovery;

WHEREAS, on June 5, 2023, the Parties submitted the ESI Protocol for the Court’s approval (Dkt. 92), which the Court entered on June 6, 2023 (Dkt. 93);

WHEREAS, the ESI Protocol requires the Parties to “discuss the format of privilege logs” (*Id.* at 6);

WHEREAS, the ESI Protocol further requires the Parties to:

negotiate interim and final deadlines for the production of privilege logs that permit any disputes about claims of privilege or work product protection to be addressed in advance of the close of fact discovery. If the parties are unable to agree on specific dates for interim and final deadlines

1 for the production of privilege logs, the Parties may ask the Court to resolve  
 2 the dispute.

3 (*Id.* at 6);

4 WHEREAS, the Parties have now agreed on the format and content of their privilege logs,  
 5 as well as amended deadlines for the production of interim and final privilege logs. Therefore, the  
 6 Parties now wish to submit a stipulated addendum to the ESI Protocol pursuant to Section 9 of the  
 7 ESI Protocol (*see id.* at 6);

8 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, subject to the Court's approval, that  
 9 the following provisions will be added to the ESI Protocol to govern the required form and content  
 10 of the Parties' privilege logs as well as the deadlines for production of the privilege logs. The Parties  
 11 incorporate by reference all terms as defined in the ESI Protocol.

12 **Section 8(d) Format and Contents of Privilege Log**

- 15 i. **General.** Subject to the limitations specified in Sections 8(a) and 8(b), documents that a  
 16 Party withholds, entirely or in part by redaction, on the basis of the attorney-client privilege,  
 17 the work product doctrine, or similar doctrines shall be logged on a privilege log on a  
 18 document-by-document basis.
- 19 ii. **Initial and Subsequent Privilege Logs.** The Parties will serve privilege logs consistent with  
 20 the schedule and provisions outlined in this Addendum. Each supplemental privilege log  
 21 will log all documents withheld (entirely or in part) from the serving party's document  
 22 productions completed more than 30 days before the privilege log deadline that have not  
 23 been included on any prior privilege log (so that each privilege log supplements all  
 24 previously served privilege logs but does not include entries from any previously served  
 25 log(s)).

1       iii. **Contents of Privilege Log Entries.** Consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
2       26(b)(5), the following information should be provided (as applicable) in the privilege log  
3       for each document: (1) Bates-number range, or if no Bates-number range, a unique document  
4       identification number range, such that the Producing Party provides the number of pages in  
5       the withheld or redacted document; (2) document type; (3) family relationship; (4) document  
6       date; (5) all senders and recipients, including copyees and blind copyees, with attorneys and  
7       their staff denoted by an asterisk (\*) on the log; (6) all custodians known to have been  
8       furnished the document; (7) privilege(s) or protection(s) claimed; and (8) description of the  
9       withheld Document or electronically stored information that is sufficiently detailed and  
10      informative to justify the privilege or protection claimed.  
11

12       iv. **Email Strings.** The Producing Party must separately log every email in a thread, where such  
13      email is included within an email thread withheld in full based on a claim of privilege or  
14      other protection. If the Producing Party is withholding any part of an email thread based on  
15      a claim of privilege or other protection, that party must produce all parts of the thread that  
16      are not privileged. For the avoidance of doubt, the Producing Party must individually and  
17      separately log each email within an email thread only if it is fully withheld, and must  
18      individually and separately log each document produced with redactions.  
19

## 20       **Section 8(e) Deadlines to Serve Privilege Logs**

21

22       The Parties will serve initial privilege logs within 30 days of the Court's entry of this  
23      Stipulated Addendum to ESI Protocol. The Parties will serve subsequent supplemental privilege  
24      logs every 90 days thereafter. The Parties will exchange final privilege logs at least 40 days before  
25      any fact discovery cutoff entered by the Court consistent with the Court's Discovery Scheduling  
26      Order (Dkt. 83).  
27

1           The parties may serve privilege logs on a rolling basis in addition to those deadlines ordered  
2 by the Court.

3           If a Party makes a supplemental document production from which documents are withheld  
4 (entirely or in part) on the basis of the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or similar  
5 doctrines after that Party has served its final privilege log, that Party will serve a supplemental  
6 privilege log within 30 days of its supplemental production.  
7

8 **Section 8(f) Challenges to Privilege Log Entries**

- 9       i. **Challenging a Privilege Log Entry.** If a Receiving Party believes that one or more items in  
10      a Producing Party's privilege log should be produced, then the Receiving Party shall raise  
11      the issue in writing as to each log entry or category of log entries with the Producing Party  
12      with reasonably sufficient detail so that the Producing Party may understand the Receiving  
13      Party's position.  
14
- 15      ii. **Responding to a Challenge.** The Producing Party shall then respond to the challenge in  
16      writing within 10 business days unless otherwise agreed by the Parties or ordered by the  
17      Court, and must either state that the Producing Party will produce the document in full or  
18      explain the grounds for disagreement with the challenge. If the Producing Party disagrees  
19      with the challenge, it must explain the basis for its designation with reasonably sufficient  
20      detail so that the Receiving Party understands its position.  
21
- 22      iii. **Resolving Disputes.** If the Producing Party's written response does not satisfy the Receiving  
23      Party, the Parties shall meet and confer within five business days after the Producing Party's  
24      response to try to reach a mutually agreeable solution. If the Parties are unable to resolve  
25      their disputes, the Parties must file a joint discovery dispute letter (using the joint letter  
26      format and procedures specified in Section 4 of Judge Virginia K. DeMarchi's Standing  
27      28

1 Order for Civil Cases) within five court days of a meet and confer, unless the Parties  
 2 mutually agree to a different schedule. If the challenge(s) involve multiple issues, the Parties  
 3 will meet and confer to propose a reasonable briefing schedule.

4 **Section 8(g) 502(d) Clawback Order**

5 The Parties agree that this Addendum and the procedures set forth herein regarding  
 6 challenging privilege assertions do not override the provisions of the 502(d) Clawback Order agreed  
 7 to by the Parties and entered by the Court. *See* Dkt. 65.

8 Dated: May 6, 2025

9 By: *s/ Michael Liskow*  
 10 Michael Liskow

11 **GEORGE FELDMAN MCDONALD,  
 12 PLLC**

13 Lori G. Feldman (*pro hac vice*)  
 14 Michael Liskow (State Bar No. 243899)  
 15 102 Half Moon Bay Drive  
 16 Croton-on-Hudson, NY 10520  
 17 Telephone: (917) 983-9321  
 18 E-mail: lfeldman@4-justice.com  
 19 mliskow@4-justice.com  
 20 eservice@4-justice.com

21 **GEORGE FELDMAN MCDONALD,  
 22 PLLC**

23 Rebecca A. Peterson (241858)  
 24 1650 W 82nd Street, Suite 880  
 25 Bloomington, MN 55431  
 26 Telephone: (612) 778-9595  
 27 Fax: (888) 421-4173  
 28 Email: RPeterson@4-Justice.com  
 eService@4-Justice.com

29 **SMITH KRIVOSHEY, P.C.**

30 Joel D. Smith (State Bar No. 244902)  
 31 867 Boylston Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 32 Boston, MA 02216  
 33 Telephone: 617-377-7404  
 34 Email: joel@skclassactions.com

1                   **BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

2                   Neal Deckant (State Bar No. 322946)  
3                   1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
4                   Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
5                   Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
6                   Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
7                   E-mail: jsmith@bursor.com

8                   **LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.**

9                   Kate M. Baxter-Kauf (pro hac vice)  
10                  100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200  
11                  Minneapolis, MN 55401  
12                  Telephone: (612) 339-6900  
13                  Facsimile: (612) 339-0981  
14                  kmbaxter-kauf@locklaw.com

15                  **THE HODA LAW FIRM, PLLC**

16                  Marshal J. Hoda, Esq. (pro hac vice)  
17                  12333 Sowden Road, Suite B  
18                  Houston, TX 77080  
19                  Telephone: (832) 848-0036  
20                  Email: marshal@thehodalawfirm.com

21                  **FOSTER YARBOROUGH PLLC**

22                  Patrick Yarborough, Esq. (pro hac vice)  
23                  917 Franklin Street, Suite 220  
24                  Houston, TX 77002  
25                  Telephone: (713) 331-5254  
26                  Email: patrick@fosteryarborough.com

27                  **EMERSON FIRM, PLLC**

28                  John G. Emerson (pro hac vice)  
29                  2500 Wilcrest, Suite 300  
30                  Houston, TX 77042  
31                  Telephone: (800) 551-8649  
32                  Email: jemerson@emersonfirm.com

33                  *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

1 Dated: May 6, 2025

**GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP**

2 By: Lauren R. Goodman  
3 Lauren R. Goodman

4 LAUREN R. GOLDMAN (*pro hac vice*)  
5 lgoldman@gibsondunn.com  
6 DARCY C. HARRIS (*pro hac vice*)  
7 dharris@gibsondunn.com  
8 200 Park Avenue  
9 New York, NY 10166-0193  
10 Telephone: (212) 351-4000  
11 Facsimile: (212) 351-4035

12 ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY, SBN 268184  
13 emccloskey@gibsondunn.com  
14 ABIGAIL A. BARRERA, SBN 301746  
15 abarrera@gibsondunn.com  
16 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600  
17 San Francisco, CA 94111-3715  
18 Telephone: (415) 393-8200  
19 Facsimile: (415) 393-8306

20 *Attorneys for Meta Platforms, Inc.*

**CIVIL L.R. 5-1(i)(3) ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.

Dated: May 6, 2025

By: /s/ Michael Liskow  
Michael Liskow

## **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

---

Hon. P. Casey Pitts  
U.S. District Judge